5-Challenges 9/17/2013

Challenges

Determining When Mitigation is Appropriate

- Consider mitigation, even for non-significant impacts.
- Develop mitigation measures where feasible.
- Identify all relevant, reasonable mitigation measures, even those <u>outside the jurisdiction</u> of the lead or cooperating agencies, and thus <u>not committed to in agency decisions</u>.

Source: CEQ – NEPA's 40 Most Asked Questions 19a & 19b

Challenges

Determining Effectiveness of Mitigation*

- Address expected effectiveness of mitigation in reducing or avoiding adverse impacts.
- Tie to expected tangible results based on a verifiable method (e.g. similar past activities or scientific research findings).
- Identify the relationship of resources and values affected by the action and benefitted by the mitigation.
- * Can be difficult with offsite mitigation

Source: BLM NEPA Handbook (H-1790) Sec. 6.8.4, Regional Mitigation Manual Sec. (MS-1794) 1.6.D.2

5-Challenges 9/17/2013

Challenges

Compensatory Funding for Offsite Mitigation

- Allocate funds collected to specific mitigation projects.
- Choose an amount that can be justified if challenged.
- Establish financial contribution agreements to outline key aspects of expected expenditures, timelines, and progress updates.
- Specify management approach for implementation the BLM retains responsibility for ensuring implementation even when working with partners.

Source: Regional Mitigation Manual Section (MS-1794) 1.6.D.19 – 1.6.D.22

Challenges

Monitoring to Ensure Compliance with the Decision and Predicted Effectiveness

- Consider staff limitations for site visits, reviewing reports, etc.
- Establish MOU or other enforceable, binding agreements with partners to increase likelihood of mitigation.
- Use third-party contractors to supplement BLM staff oversight during major project construction or mitigation implementation.

Source: Regional Mitigation Manual (MS-1794) Section 1.6.D.5